

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/675,039	WALTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Midys Inoa	2188	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Midys Inoa.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Sharkansky (25800) .

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 January 2004

**Time:** 2:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant noted that Examiner failed to consider the electronic IDS filed on October 25<sup>th</sup>, 2004. Examiner agreed to consider the IDS in question.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)